Implementing an EHR-based Distributed Data Network for Public Health Surveillance of Notifiable Diseases and Chronic Conditions: A How-To Guide with Lessons Learned from Massachusetts

Noelle Cocoros, DSc, MPH Bob Zambarano, PhD Michael Klompas, MD, MPH

June 5, 2017 Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists



DEPARTMENT OF POPULATION MEDICINE HARVARD Harvard Pilgrim

Objective

Describe how we implemented a distributed data network of EHRs from multiple clinical sites in Massachusetts to conduct electronic notifiable disease reporting and aggregate-level chronic disease surveillance

- The system and its features
- Overview of implementation
- Current status in MA
- Key elements to success & lessons learned

ESP – <u>EHR Support for Public Health</u>

Software and architecture to extract, analyze, and transmit electronic health information from providers to public health

- Surveys codified EHR data for patients with conditions of public health interest
- ➤Generates secure electronic reports for the state health department
- Designed to be compatible with any EHR system Requires ability to export data

>Open source software, PopMedNet (available via esphealth.org)

JAMIA 2009;16:18-24 *MMWR* 2008;57:372-375 *Am J Pub Health* 2012;102:S325–S332

Automated disease detection & reporting for public health



Capabilities and Features

➢Individual-level notifiable disease reporting

- Via encrypted HL7 messages to MAVEN, MDPH's integrated surveillance and case management system
- HIV, chlamydia, gonorrhea, syphilis, acute HBV, acute HAV, HCV, TB
- New: Longitudinal case reporting for chronic infections (HIV, HCV, TB)

>Aggregate-level reporting of chronic diseases and conditions of interest

- Via user interface with drop down menu ("query composer") or SQL code
- Examples of outcomes and uses:
 - Diabetes, asthma, smoking, opioid Rx's, obesity, hypertension (treated, controlled)
 - Influenza vaccine usage
 - ILInet reporting
 - IUD use after 2016 Presidential election
 - $\circ~$ HIV and HCV testing
 - Lyme disease
 - $\circ~$ Program evaluation

Decoupled architecture



ESP is decoupled from host electronic health record

Implications
Universal
Secure

ESPnet is a distributed data network

How aggregate queries work

- Enables timely access to aggregatelevel data of public health importance not notifiable on the individual-level
- Confidential personal health data remain with original data holders
- Allows those most knowledgeable about participating systems to ensure data are used and interpreted properly



Organization / The Players



DEPARTMENT OF POPULATION MEDICINE





🚫 Atrius Health







Planned Parenthood*

Model for participation varies by clinical site

5 sites in MA: 4 participate in notifiable disease reporting, 3 permit aggregate queries Some enable vendor to access their ESP server for data quality and maintenance

Implementation steps

- Step 1: Organizational buy-in
- Step 2: Designate a physical or virtual server for ESP
- Step 3: ESP installation & configuration
- Step 4: Create data extract, back load data, implement daily extract & load
- Step 5: Data extract validation
- Step 6: Map local EHR lab codes to ESP abstract labs
- Step 7: Implement case detection algorithms & lab mapping
- **Step 8:** Data validation notifiable diseases & accompanying data
- **Step 9:** Implementation of notifiable disease reporting to DPH
- **Step 10:** Ongoing support & maintenance

Current status of ESPnet in Massachusetts



© Google Maps

Percentage of Adults in Massachusetts Census Population Included in ESPnet, by Community



ESPnet vs BRFSS Estimates, Massachusetts 2014



Lessons Learned / Reality Check

- Establishing and nurturing collaborative relationships between all participating entities has been the cornerstone of this work
- >DPH has invested in the system from the start
 - Bureau of Infectious Disease and Laboratory Sciences committed to ESP as a data source beyond the initial case reporting

Champions within clinical sites make it easier in terms of initial buy-in and ongoing maintenance

- > Different approaches to set up yield more or less efficiency
 - e.g. whether informatics vendor has VPN access

Challenges

Coverage is concentrated towards the eastern part of the state

➤Validation of data, data completeness, and algorithm performance

Importance of maintenance – e.g. ensure lab tests/results are mapped

Sustainability / funding: staffing costs, hardware and software

> Have not *quantified* the benefit for the sites

https://riskscape.esphealth.org ×

Secure https://riskscape.esphealth.org







Acknowledgements

Department of Population Medicine

- Michael Klompas
- Elizabeth Dee
- JT Menchaca
- Micaela Coady
- Aileen Ochoa

Commonwealth Informatics, Inc.

- Bob Zambarano
- Catherine Rocchio
- Karen Eberhardt
- Chaim Kirby

MA Dept. of Public Health

- Liisa Randall
- Gillian Haney
- Sita Smith
- Thomas Land
- Sanouri Ursprung
- Natalie Nguyen

Participating sites

- MLCHC
- CHA
- Atrius Health
- Fenway Health
- PPLM

ESP is funded by the Massachusetts Dept. of Public Health

Questions?

Contact us

www.esphealth.org esphealth@harvardpilgrim.org noelle_cocoros@harvardpilgrim.org